

Note on the deformation of chiral algebra.

A. ARHRIB^{1,2*}, M. RACHIDI³ and E. H. SAIDI⁴

¹ Laboratoire de Physique Mathématique et Théorique, UPRES-A 5032,
Université de Montpellier II, F-34095 Montpellier Cedex 5, France.

² Laboratoire de Physique et Techniques Nucléaires
Faculté des Sciences Semlalia, B. P S15, Marrakech Morocco.

³ Département de Mathématiques, Faculté des Sciences
Av Ibn Battouta, B.P 1014 Rabat, Morocco

⁴ Section de Physique des Hautes Energies, LMPHE, Faculté des Sciences,
Av Ibn Battouta, B.P 1014 Rabat, Morocco

Abstract

We introduce a new type of deformation of the chiral symmetry based on the deformation of the Laurent expansion of the conformal energy momentum tensor. Two kinds of solutions of the deformed equations of continuity are worked out. Known results are recovered, others features are also discussed.

*Permanent address: Département de mathématiques Faculté des Sciences et Techniques , B.P 416
Tanger, Morocco

1 Introduction

In the last decade, a great attention has been given to the study of two dimensional conformal invariance and its extensions [1, 2, 3]. These symmetries play a central role in the string theory, two dimensional gravity and statistical models of critical phenomena.

Recently some interest has been devoted to the deformation of these infinite dimensional symmetries. This is motivated essentially by the fact that some deformed symmetries are believed to be hidden symmetries responsible of the integrability of many massive $2d$ models [4]. Before presenting our problem, let us first recall our way of understanding what is meant by the idea of deformation of symmetries. Roughly speaking there are various ways to deform symmetries which basically can be classified in two kinds.

- The q -deformation [5, 6, 7] which consists to deform the Lie algebra structure $l(s)$ of the usual bracket

$$[A, B] = AB - BA \quad as \quad [A, B] = AB - qBA \quad (1)$$

In this eq. A and B are two elements of a Lie algebra g and q is a generic complex parameter. For $q = 1$, one get the unperturbed Lie bracket and for the special case $q = -1$, one obtains the anticommutator of supersymmetric algebra. In the general case the generic deformed bracket (1) is valued in $U_q(g)$, the universal Lie algebra of g . Generalizations of this deformation have been also studied

- The conformal deformation which deals with the deformation of the conformal (complex) structure $c(s)$ of two dimensional scale invariant theories. These deformations are governed by the Zamolodchikov c -theorem for Crossover phenomena [8]. Massive deformations are expected to be governed by some generalization of this theorem.

Note that the conformal and Lie algebra deformations are two independent operations, that is:

$$c(s)l(s) = l(s)c(s) \quad (2)$$

Note also that both the deformation of the Lie algebra structure eq(1) and the conformal one were shown to be powerful ideas to connect different structures which were viewed before as independent. The connection of graded Lie algebras to the standard bosonic ones [9] and the connection of the integrability structure to the conformal one [4] are may be two good lecons that we have been thought from the two types of deformations mentioned above.

In this paper we want to make some comments on the conformal deformation. More precisely, we want to study the chiral deformation as the perturbation of the chiral symmetries including the conformal one. Because of the lack of a manifestly chiral invariant action in general, we develop a new way of deforming chiral symmetries. The novelty in this way of deforming is: first, it does not need to first know the nature of the deformation field operator as we have the habit to do in the conformal deformation; second it can be viewed as a constrained method to deal with the deformation of general chiral symmetries.

The paper is organized as follows: In section 2 we recall some generalities on chiral algebras. The section 3 is devoted to discussing deformations of chiral algebras and introducing our proposal approach. In section 4, we apply our way of deforming the Virasoro algebra. We find a general class of isomorphism of this algebra. We show also that, starting from the highest weight representation with the vacuum state $|h\rangle$, we find that the energy of this state is scaled by a real factor. The unitarity constraints are worked out and as expected some known results are reproduced as special cases.

2 Chiral symmetries.

To start, suppose that we have a theory described by a hypothetical action S_0 that has a certain symmetry generated by a set of field operators $\{J_{\pm s}, \theta_{\pm(s-2)}, s = 2, 3, \dots\}$ satisfying the following conservation laws:

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\partial}J_s &= \partial\theta_{s-2} \\ \partial\bar{J}_{-s} &= \bar{\partial}\theta_{-(s-2)}\end{aligned}\tag{3}$$

this symmetry is said chiral if the field operators $\theta_{\pm(s-2)}$ are required to vanish, that is :

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\partial}J_s &= 0 \\ \partial\bar{J}_{-s} &= 0\end{aligned}\tag{4}$$

Note that the constraint eq (4) are in fact integrability conditions for the existence of the following Laurent development:

$$J_s(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} z^{-n-s} J_n^{(s)}\tag{4.b}$$

and a similar formula for the antichiral current $\bar{J}_{-s}(z)$. Note also that the field operators $J_s(z)$ can be thought of as:

- Basic chiral current as in W_N symmetries; *i.e* $J_s = T(z)$, $J_s = W_{(s)}$, ...
- Descendant field operators of primary ones.
- Composite operators. For the family of the identity operator for example, we may have in addition to $J_s = T(z)$, the non linear composite operator:

$$J_s(z) =: T^2(z) :$$

Before giving our way of deforming the chiral symmetries, let us first review briefly how things are done in the conformal deformation. There, one start from a critical model described by an action S_0 and deform it to $s(\lambda)$ as

$$S(\lambda) = S_0 + \lambda \int d^2z \phi(z, \bar{z})$$

Where λ is a perturbation parameter and ϕ is a given relevant conformal field operator. The original manifest conformal symmetry generated by $T(z)$ and $\bar{T}(\bar{z})$ is deformed to:

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\partial}T &= \partial\theta \\ \partial\bar{T} &= \bar{\partial}\theta\end{aligned}\tag{5}$$

where the trace θ of the energy momentum tensor is proportional to the perturbation $\lambda\phi(z, \bar{z})$. Non manifest symmetries of S_0 obeying equation type (4) are in general deformed to equation of the form:

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\partial}J_s &= B_{s-1} \\ \partial\bar{J}_{-s} &= \bar{B}_{-(s-1)}\end{aligned}\tag{6}$$

Different situations may happen here:

- i Some of the B_{s-1} 's are still zero and this means that some non manifest infinite subsymmetries remain unbroken. This is the case of the Crossover phenomenon.
- ii The operator B_{s-1} is a total derivative $B_{s-1} = \partial\theta_{s-2}$ and this means that we have at last one constant of motion P_{s-1} ;

$$P_{s-1} = \int dz T_s + \int d\bar{z} \theta_{s-2}$$

that remains conserved after deformation.

- iii The B_{s-1} 's are neither zero nor total derivatives. In this case, the original non manifest symmetries of S_0 are completely destroyed by the deformation. A part from the Zamolodchikov counting argument which is based on analysis of vector spaces dimensions and which gives some indications on the conditions of occurrence of eq(3), there are no systematic method dealing with the three above mentioned situations. In case where there are a large number (an infinite number for the axiomatic point of view) of B_{s-1} satisfying eq(3), we have an infinite number of constants of motion and the deformation is said integrable. This is what happens for example in magnetic and thermal deformations of $c = 1/2$ critical Ising model [4, 10].

3 Deformation of chiral symmetries.

From the point of view of representation theory, the deformation of the chiral symmetry can be done in the same way as in the conformal case. One has to identify the relevant field operators and the chiral symmetry we want to deform. Of course in general we do not know how to write action exhibiting a manifestly chiral invariance, but we can still study the deformation of any chiral invariance, but we can still study the deformation of any chiral symmetry just by manipulating the degree of freedom of the theory. Among the

numerous examples, one can quote the two following. Both these two examples concern the deformation of the $c = 6/7$ critical theory. Besides the conformal invariance, the above mentioned theory, known also as the tricritical three states Potts model, exhibits two chiral symmetries: a W-symmetry whose current W has a conformal weight $\Delta = s = 5$ and a fractional superconformal symmetry generated by the chiral current $G_{4/3}$ of weight $\Delta = s = 4/3$. Using the fusion algebra of the $c = 6/7$ critical model, it can be shown that W-symmetry and the fractional superconformal one lead respectively to the following conservation laws:

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\partial}W_5 &= \partial\theta_3 \\ \bar{\partial}G_{4/3} &= \partial\theta'_{-2/3}\end{aligned}$$

where $\theta_3 = \lambda\phi_{22/7,1/7}$, $\theta'_{-2/3} = \lambda\phi_{1/2,15/21}$. In these equations, $\phi_{h,\bar{h}}$ are Virasoro primary fields of conformal weight $\Delta = h + \bar{h}$ and conformal spin $s = h - \bar{h}$. λ is a perturbation parameter carrying a certain mass scale.

From the complex analysis point of view, the deformation of the chiral symmetry defined by eqs (4) can be achieved by deforming the Laurent expansion eq(4b). Our motivation in doing so is that chiral symmetry is the integrability principle for the existence of Laurent development. Accordingly the deformation of chiral symmetry is expected to induce a deformation of the Laurent expansion. Let us write this deformed series as:

$$J_s(z, \bar{z}) = \sum_{z \in Z} z^{-n-s} J_n^{(s)}(z, \bar{z}) \quad (7)$$

where now the mode operators $J_n^{(s)}(z, \bar{z})$ carry some z and $\bar{z}$ dependence. In perturbation theory of parameter λ , these deformed Laurent modes may be written to the first order in λ as

$$J_n^{(s)}(z, \bar{z}) = J_n^{(s)} + \mathcal{O}_n^s(z, \bar{z}) + o(\lambda^2) \quad (8)$$

where $J_n^{(s)}$ are as in eq(4.b) and $\mathcal{O}_n^s(z, \bar{z})$ are some mode operators carrying the effect of the deformation.

Moreover using the fact that after deformation, eq(4) transforms in general to

$$\bar{\partial}J_s(z, \bar{z}) = B_{s-1}(z, \bar{z}) \quad (9)$$

Parameterizing the B_{s-1} operator fields as a series:

$$B_{s-1}(z, \bar{z}) = \sum_{n \in Z} z^{-n-s+1} B_n^{(s-1)}(z, \bar{z}) \quad (10)$$

then putting this expansion back in eq(9) and using the development of $J_s(z, \bar{z})$, one gets:

$$B_n^{(s-1)}(z, \bar{z}) = z^{-1} \bar{\partial}J_n^{(s)}(z, \bar{z}) \quad (11)$$

In the case where $\bar{\partial}J_n^{(s)} \neq 0$ and $B_n^{(s-1)} = \partial\theta_{n-1}^{(s-2)}$ the constraint eq(11) reads as:

$$\partial\theta_{n-1}^{(s-2)} = z^{-1} \bar{\partial}J_n^{(s)}(z, \bar{z}) \quad (12)$$

The solving of eqs (11) and (12) can be brought to the problem of solving equation of the form:

$$\partial\Lambda = \bar{\partial}\Gamma \quad (12.b)$$

where $\Lambda(z, \bar{z})$ and $\Gamma(z, \bar{z})$ are complex functions of z and $\bar{z}$. We have looked for solutions of the above equation and we have found that there exists a class of solutions depending on a positive parameter N . These solutions which read as

$$\Lambda = \left(\sum_{r=0}^N (-1)^r \frac{z^{r+1}}{(r+1)!} \partial^r \right) \bar{\partial}\Gamma + \phi(\bar{z}) \quad (13)$$

are elements of a set of functions Γ defined by the constraint eq:

$$\partial^N \bar{\partial}\Gamma = 0; N = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (13.b)$$

where $\phi(\bar{z})$ is an arbitrary antianalytic function ($\partial\phi = 0$).

Whether or not this constraint equation is related to the degenerate equation [1] of the relevant conformal field operator of the deformation in field theoretical approach remains an interesting question that should be answered. Development in this direction will be reported elsewhere. Note that the field operator Γ may expressed in term of Λ by making the change z in $\bar{z}$.

Moreover, we note that eq (12.b) is in fact a special case of a more general one

$$\bar{\partial}U = AU + B\bar{U} + F$$

where A, B and F are continuous functions of z and $\bar{z}$. The general solution of the above equation has been studied in the mathematical literature (for details see [13, 14]). In the case of our interest $A = B = 0$, $U = \Gamma$ and $F = \partial\Lambda$, the integral solution reads as:

$$\Lambda(z, \bar{z}) = \phi(\bar{z}) + \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_D d^2\omega \frac{\bar{\partial}\Gamma}{\omega - z}$$

where D is the domain in which eq(12.b) is investigated and where $\phi(\bar{z})$ is an arbitrary antianalytic function. Note that this form of the solution does not depend on any constraint on Γ contrary to the series form solution eq (12.b). By considering constraints eq (13.b) the equation $\partial\Lambda = \bar{\partial}\Gamma$ has a solution in series form given by eq (13).

Note moreover that in the case where the $J_n^{(s)}$ are $\bar{z}$ independent, the $B_n^{(s-1)}$'s operators are identically zero. The deformation eq(9) correspond simply to conformal transformations preserving the chiral symmetry. In this case the deformed Laurent mode operators $J_n^{(s)}(z)$ may be written in terms of the undeformed Laurent modes $J_n^{(s)}$ as:

$$J_n^{(s)} = f_n(z) J_n^{(s)} \quad (14)$$

Expanding $f_n(z)$ in Laurent series and using eqs(7) together with eq(12), one gets

$$J_s(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} z^{-n-s} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} f_{n,n-m}^{(s)} j_m^{(s)} \quad (15)$$

Choosing the Laurent modes $f_{n,r}$ as $f_{n,r} = \delta_{r,0} f_{n,r}$; the above equation reduces to:

$$J_s = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} z^{-n-s} f_{n,0}^{(s)} j_m^{(s)}; \quad f_{n,0}^{(s)} = f_n^{(s)} \neq 0, \quad \text{for all } n. \quad (16)$$

Two type of deformations should be distinguished here. As we shall illustrate on the example of the Virasoro algebra, the deformations obeying $f_{n+m} = f_n f_m$ describe automorphisms of the chiral symmetry and the deformations satisfying the condition $f_{n+m} \neq f_n f_m$ give a general class of transformations describing isomorphisms of the chiral symmetry.

4 Discussion and conclusion

We have analyzed the case where the $J_n^{(s)}$'s are $\bar{z}$ independent at the level of Virasoro algebra, we have found that the deformed algebra is given by the following parametric algebra:

$$[L_n, L_m]_f = \frac{f_{n+m}}{f_n f_m} (n-m) L_{n+m} + c_e^f(n, m) \quad (17)$$

where $c_e^f(n, m)$ is the central extension which depend on the non vanishing complex function f . It is not difficult to show that the bracket $[L_n, L_m]_f$ satisfy the antisymmetry relation and Jacobi identity.

Now let us discuss the central extension $c_e^f(n, m)$ of this algebra. To determine $c_e^f(n, m)$ we follow the method of the Goddard et al [11]. The central extension corresponds to a shift of the vector space and therefore the central extension must not break the antisymmetry of the bracket and Jacobi Identity. Using this fact, we show that the central extension depend explicitly on f and take the following form:

$$c_e^f(n, m) = \frac{c}{12} \frac{n(n^2 - 1)}{f_n f - n} \delta_{n+m, 0} \quad (18)$$

When imposing unitarity, the function f is constrained to:

$$f_n^* = f_{-n}, \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{Z} \quad (19)$$

where f_n^* mean the conjugate of f_n . It's obvious to see from this constraint that f_0 is real and if f_n is a real function then it's necessary an even function (i.e $f_{-n} = f_n$).

To study the highest weight representations of this deformed algebra, we consider a highest weight representation with the vacuum state $|h\rangle$. It satisfies:

$$L_0 |h\rangle = h |h\rangle, \quad L_n |h\rangle = 0, \quad n > 0. \quad (20)$$

We follow the method described by [11], from the eqs (17,18), we evaluate first $\langle h | L_{-n}^+ L_{-n} | h \rangle$ and found that it's given by:

$$\langle h | L_{-n}^+ L_{-n} | h \rangle = \frac{1}{|f_n|^2} (2nhf_0 + \frac{c}{12} n(n^2 - 1)) \langle h | h \rangle \quad (21)$$

The quantity $\langle h|L_{-n}^+L_{-n}|h \rangle$ must be positive if the Hilbert space has a positive norm. One conclude then that $2nhf(0) + \frac{c}{12}n(n^2 - 1) > 0$ for all $n > 0$.

From the last equation and for $n = 1$ one deduce that $hf(0) > 0$. For large value of n this constraint give $c > 0$. Such representation is then characterised by $hf(0) > 0^\dagger$ and $c > 0$. We have also shown that in the case of real function f_n , the Verma determinant of $N = 2$ and $N = 3$ representations take the same form as in the Virasoro algebra with changing h with hf_0 .

In the case where $f_{n+m} = f_nf_m$ one see from this condition that $f_0 = 1$. With these two constraints one reproduce exactly the known result on the automorphisms of the conformal algebra [12].

References

- [1] A. A BELAVIN, A.M. POLYAKOV AND A.B. ZAMOLODCHIKOV, **Nucl. Phys. B** **241** (1984) 333
- [2] A.B. ZAMOLODCHIKOV, **Teor. Math. Fiz** **65** (1985) 347
- [3] V.A. FATEEV AND A. B. ZAMOLODCHIKOV, **Nucl. Phys. B** **304** (1988) 348
- [4] For a review see, sc G. Mussarodo **Phys. Rep** 1992.
- [5] A. KADIRI, Thesis (Unpublished), University of Rabat, 1995.
- [6] A. LECLAIR AND C. VAFA, preprint **CLNS 92-1150, HUTP 92-A045**.
- [7] M. CHAICHIAN, P. P. KULISH AND J. LUKIERSKI, **Phys. Lett. B** **248** 1990 401
- [8] A. B ZAMOLODCHIKOV. **JETP** **46** (1987), p160, **Journ Mod Phys A3** (1988) p 743.
- [9] R. B. ZHANG Finite dimentional representations of $U_q(osp(\frac{1}{2n}))$, **IC-92-190**
- [10] V. A. FATEEV AND A. B. ZAMOLODCHIKOV, **Int. Journ. Mod. Phys A5** (1990) p1025.
- [11] P. GODDARD AND D. OLIVE, **INTERN. J. Mod. Phys. A1** (1986) 303.
- [12] J. PATERA AND H. ZASSENHAUS, **Commun. Math. Phys.** **136**, 1-14 (1991).
- [13] C. A BERENSTEIN AND R. GAY, *Complex Variables: An introduction*. Springer-Vergla (1991).
- [14] GAKHOV, F.D. — *Boundary Value Problems*, Pergamon, London, 1966.

[†]Rather than the condition $h > 0$ for Virasoro algebra, in this case we have to re-scale the parameter h by a factor f_0